arXiv:1509.08061v1 [math.DG] 27 Sep 2015

EXTENDABILITY OF CONFORMAL STRUCTURES ON PUNCTURED SURFACES

JINGYI CHEN AND YUXIANG LI

Abstract. For a smooth immersion f from the punctured disk $D\backslash\{0\}$ into $\mathbb{R}^n$ extendable continuously at the puncture, if its mean curvature is square integrable and the measure of $f(D)\cap B_{r_k} = o(r_k)$ for a sequence $r_k \to 0$, we show that the Riemannian surface $(D_r\backslash\{0\}, g)$ where g is the induced metric is conformally equivalent to the unit Euclidean punctured disk, for any $r \in (0,1)$. For a locally $W^{2,2}$ Lipschitz immersion f from the punctured disk $D_2\backslash\{0\}$ into $\mathbb{R}^n$, if $\|\nabla f\|_{L^\infty}$ is finite and the second fundamental form of f is in L^2, we show that there exists a homeomorphism $\phi : D \to D$ such that $f\circ\phi$ is a branched $W^{2,2}$-conformal immersion from the Euclidean unit disk D into $\mathbb{R}^n$.

1. Introduction

In two dimensional variation, especially conformally invariant, problems in differential geometry, it is important to know whether islolated singularities are removable while preserving conformal properties. In this paper, we shall study the problem of extending conformal structure of an immersion from a punctured 2-dimensional disk across the puncture as a branched conformal immersion. For an immersion of class $C^{2,\alpha}$ from a punctured disk with bounded mean curvature H and has a unique limit point at the puncture, Gulliver showed in [3] that there exists a $C^{1,\alpha}$ conformal mapping from the disk such that its restriction to the punctured disk is a $C^{2,\alpha}$ parametrization of the immersion. The minimal surface case was proved by Osserman in [8]. We shall relax the pointwise bound on H by integral bounds. We shall also be concerned with immersions from a punctured disk with lower regularity. For immersions from a disk (not punctured) of class $C^{1,\alpha}$ (the first fundamental form is C^α), the classical theorem of Korn-Lichtenstein asserts existence of isothermal coordinates (a simplified proof was given by Chern [1]). When the induced metric is merely bounded measurable with $g_{11}g_{22} - g_{12}^2 \geq c$ almost everywhere for some positive constant c, Morrey's measurable Riemann mapping theorem states that there is a homeomorphism from the unit disk to a neighborhood of a point in the immersed disk satisfying the conformality conditions almost everywhere. Yet, in this generality, the reparametrized immersion does not admit high regularity. It is advantageous to work with immersions that are in the space $W^{2,2}\cap W^{1,\infty}$ since the L^2-norm of the second fundamental form can then be defined.

In Section 2, we show in Theorem 2.1 that for a smooth immersion f from the punctured disk $D\backslash\{0\}$ into $\mathbb{R}^n$ which admits a continuous extension at 0 sending $0 \in D$ to $0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, if its mean curvature H is square integrable and the length of $f(D)\cap\partial B_{r_k} \to 0$, or more generally, $\mu(f(D)\cap B_{r_k}) = o(r_k)$, along some sequence $r_k \to 0$, then $(D_r\backslash\{0\}, f^*g_{\mathbb{R}^n})$ is

The first author acknowledges the partial support from NSERC. The second author is partially supported by NSFC. .

conformal to $(D\backslash\{0\}, g_{\mathbb{R}^2})$ for any $0 < r < 1$. The reason of taking a smaller disk is due to lack of control on f near ∂D. This generalizes the result in [3] for H is assumed to be pointwise bounded by a uniform constant therein.

In Section 3, we consider $W^{2,2} \cap W^{1,\infty}$ immersions f from the punctured disk that admits a continuous extension at the puncture. There are two problems to be resolved. First, we need to find a conformal structure $\mathcal{C}$ compatible with the induced metric g by f away from the singularity. This does not directly follow from Morrey's result if we require the reparametrized mapping $f \circ \varphi$ lies in $W^{1,\infty}$: there exists a $W^{2,2}$ map $\varphi : D \to D$, such that $\varphi^*(g) = e^{2u} g_0$, however, it is not easy to deduce $u \in L^\infty$ directly from Morrey's arguments. Second, we need to determine the conformal type of the punctured disk with respect to the conformal structure $\mathcal{C}$ (a Riemann surface). In fact, we shall show that the Riemann surface is conformally equivalent to the punctured Euclidean disk. Hence, the composition of f with a reparametrization extends across the puncture as a branched $W^{2,2}$-conformal immersion.

Our strategy is as follows. In section 3.1, we establish a key technical result (Proposition 3.4): for a conformal immersion locally in $W^{2,2}$ from an annulus, there is a positive lower bound on the length measured in the induced metric g for all homotopically non-trivial loops. This is used to rule out the conformal types of annuli in Theorem 3.10. Since there is a conformal structure compatible with the induced metric by a *smooth* immersion, we can apply Proposition 3.4 to prove Theorem 3.5: For a smooth immersion $f : D\backslash\{0\} \to \mathbb{R}^n$ with $A \in L^2$ and $\|\nabla f\|_{L^\infty(D\backslash\{0\})} < \infty$, the Riemann surface $(D_\delta\backslash\{0\}, g)$ is conformally diffeomorphic to $(D\backslash\{0\}, g_0)$ for any $0 < \delta < 1$.

In section 3.2, we show that there exists a complex structure $\mathcal{C}$ on the punctured disk arising from the Lipschitz map f. The coordinate maps defining the complex structure $\mathcal{C}$ are in $W^{2,2}_{loc} \cap W^{1,\infty}_{loc}$, but the transition functions between coordinate charts are holomorphic. This is done by an approximation argument together with results on mappings with square integrable generalized second fundamental forms [5, 4, 6, 7]. For existence of isothermal coordinates of Lipschitz immersions with L^2-bounded second fundamental form, an approach via the method of moving frames was given in [9]. Note that as a positive lower bound (almost everywhere) on the metric tensor is imposed, $\mathcal{C}$ needs not to be extendable across the puncture.

In section 3.3, we derive Theorem 3.10 that can be stated as: For a $W^{2,2}_{loc} \cap W^{1,\infty}$ mapping f from the punctured Euclidean disk (of radius 2) $D_2\backslash\{0\}$ into $\mathbb{R}^n$, if f is a C^0 immersion with $df \otimes df > C(K)\, g_0 > 0$ almost everywhere on any compact set K in $D\backslash\{0\}$ and its (generalized) second fundamental form is in L^2, then there exists a homeomorphism $\phi : D \to D$ such that $f \circ \phi^{-1}$ is a branched $W^{2,2}$-conformal immersion of the Euclidean disk D into $\mathbb{R}^n$ with 0 as its only possible branch point. Moreover, the maps ϕ, ϕ^{-1} are in $W^{2,2}_{loc} \cap W^{1,\infty}_{loc}$ on $D\backslash\{0\}$ with $\phi(0) = 0$ and $(f \circ \phi)^* g_{\mathbb{R}^n} = e^{2u} g_{\mathbb{R}^2}$ on $D\backslash\{0\}$, where $u \in W^{1,2}_{loc} \cap L^\infty_{loc}$ on $D\backslash\{0\}$.

Theorem 3.10 generalizes to the global case: Let (Σ, h) be an oriented surface (not necessarily compact) and let $S \subset \Sigma$ be a finite set. Suppose $f \in W^{2,2}_{loc}(\Sigma\backslash S, \mathbb{R}^n)$ and f is a C^0 immersion on $\Sigma\backslash S$. Assume that (1) $g = df \otimes df > C(K)h$ almost everywhere on any compact set $K \subseteq \Sigma\backslash S$, (2) $\|\nabla f\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma,h)} < \infty$ and (3) $A \in L^2(\Sigma)$. Then there is a complex structure $\mathcal{C}$ on Σ such that $f : (\Sigma, \mathcal{C}) \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is a branched $W^{2,2}$-conformal immersion with its branch locus contained in S.

The results in this paper remain valid if the ambient space $\mathbb{R}^n$ is replaced by a smooth compact Riemannian manifold M^n, via Nash's isometric embedding theorem.

2. Smooth immersions from a punctured disk

Theorem 2.1. *Let D be the open unit disk in $\mathbb{R}^2$. Let $f : D \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be a continuous map with $f(0) = 0$ and $f|_{D\setminus\{0\}}$ is a smooth immersion. Set $\mu(\Sigma \cap K) = \mu_g(f^{-1}(K))$ for any $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, where g is the induced metric by f on $\Sigma\setminus\{0\}$ where $\Sigma = f(D)$. Assume*

(1) *$\int_{\Sigma\setminus\{0\}} |H|_g^2 d\mu_g < \infty$, where H is the mean curvature of $\Sigma\setminus\{0\}$;*

(2) *There exist positive numbers $\epsilon_k \searrow 0$ such that $\frac{\mu(\Sigma\cap B_{\epsilon_k}(0))}{\epsilon_k} \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$.*

Then $(D_r\setminus\{0\}, g)$ is conformal to $(D\setminus\{0\}, g_0)$ where g_0 is the Euclidean metric on D and D_r is the Euclidean open disk of radius r for any $r \in (0, 1)$.

The whole image set Σ may not have the structure of a surface at the puncture. We will need to know that it admits the generalized mean curvature that is in L^2.

Lemma 2.2. *Under the assumptions in Theorem 2.1, $\Sigma_r = f(D_r)$ is a rectifiable integral 2-varifold with generalized mean curvature in L^2, for any $0 < r < 1$.*

Proof. We first show that Σ_r has finite total measure. By assumption (2), there is an integer k_0 such that $\mu(\Sigma_r \cap B_{\epsilon_{k_0}}(0)) \le \mu(\Sigma \cap B_{\epsilon_{k_0}}(0)) < 1$. The set $\Sigma_r\setminus B_{\epsilon_{k_0}}(0)$ is compact and g is bounded there, so it has finite measure. Hence $\mu(\Sigma_r) < \infty$.

For any $y \in \Sigma_r$, let $\theta(y) = \mathcal{H}^0(f^{-1}(y))$ where $\mathcal{H}^0$ is the 0-dimensional Hausdorff measure. By the general area formula, see 8.4 in [11],

$$\int_{\Sigma_r\setminus B_{\epsilon_k}(0)} \theta(y) d\mathcal{H}^2(y) = \mu(\Sigma_r\setminus B_{\epsilon_k}(0)) \le \mu(\Sigma_r) < \infty.$$

Letting $k \to \infty$, we see $\theta(y)$ is integrable on $\Sigma_r\setminus\{0\}$. Further, being the image of a smooth immersion, $\Sigma_r\setminus\{0\}$ is a countable union of embedded surfaces, hence, it is countably 2-rectifiable (Lemma 11.1, [11]). It follows that (Σ, θ) is a rectifiable integral 2-varifold (p.77, [11]).

Let η be a cut-off function with values between 0 and 1 with $|\eta'| \le C$, and it equals 1 on $[1, +\infty)$ and 0 on $(-\infty, \frac{1}{2})$. Then

$$\eta_\epsilon(x) = \eta\left(\frac{|f(x)|}{2\epsilon}\right), \quad x \in D_r$$

is 0 when $f(x) \in \Sigma_r \cap B_\epsilon(0)$ and equals 1 when $f(x) \in \Sigma_r\setminus B_{2\epsilon}(0)$; η_ϵ is continuous on D_r since f is continuous and η_ϵ is locally Lipschitz on $D_r\setminus\{0\}$. Moreover, as g is the induced metric on $\Sigma_r\setminus\{0\}$ by the immersion $f|_{D_r\setminus\{0\}}$, we have, by Kato's inequality, on $D_r\setminus\{0\}$ the estimate

$$|\nabla_g \eta_\epsilon| \le \frac{C}{2\epsilon} |\nabla_g |f|| \le \frac{C}{2\epsilon} |\nabla_g f| = \frac{C}{2\epsilon}\sqrt{2}. \tag{2.1}$$

For any C^1 vector field X on $\mathbb{R}^n$, $\eta_{\epsilon_k} X$ is a C^1 vector field along Σ_r as η_{ϵ_k} vanishes on $\Sigma_r \cap B_{\epsilon_k}(0)$. Then

$$-\int_{\Sigma_r} H \cdot \eta_{\epsilon_k} X = \int_{\Sigma_r} \mathrm{div}_{\Sigma_r}(\eta_{\epsilon_k} X) = \int_{\Sigma_r} X \cdot \nabla_g \eta_{\epsilon_k} + \int_{\Sigma_r} \eta_{\epsilon_k} \mathrm{div}_{\Sigma_r} X. \tag{2.2}$$

Since Σ_r is bounded, $X|_{\Sigma_r}$ is bounded in C^1. Then

$$\left|\int_{\Sigma_r} H\cdot\eta_{\epsilon_k}X\right| \le C\left(\int_{\Sigma_r\setminus\{0\}}|H|_g^2 d\mu_g\right)^{1/2}\mu(\Sigma_r\cap B_{2\epsilon_k}(0))^{1/2}\to 0 \ \text{ as } k\to\infty$$

by assumptions (1) and (2), and

$$\left|\int_{\Sigma_r} X\cdot\nabla_g\eta_{\epsilon_k}\right| \le \frac{C}{\epsilon_k}\mu(\Sigma_r\cap(B_{2\epsilon_k}(0))\to 0 \ \text{ as } k\to\infty$$

by (2.1) and assumption (2). Letting $k\to 0$ in (2.2), we have

$$-\int_{\Sigma_r} H\cdot X = \int_{\Sigma_r}\mathrm{div}_{\Sigma_r}X.$$

As X is arbitrary, H is the generalized mean curvature of Σ_r (cf. [11]) and by (1) it is in $L^2(\Sigma_r)$. □

The following rigidity result for the Dirichlet problem of harmonic functions on a punctured disk will be used in the proof of Theorem 2.1 to eliminate the conformal types of annuli.

Lemma 2.3. *Let ϕ be a harmonic function on $(D_r\setminus\{0\}, g)$ where g is as in Theorem 2.1. If*

$$\phi|_{\partial D_r}=0, \quad \|\phi\|_{L^\infty}<\infty, \ \textit{and} \int_{D_r\setminus\{0\}}|\nabla_g\phi|^2 d\mu_g<\infty,$$

then $\phi=0$.

Proof. Since η_ϵ is 0 in $B_\epsilon(0)$, $\eta_\epsilon(f)$ is 0 on $f^{-1}(B_\epsilon(0))\cap D_r$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\left|\int_{f^{-1}(B_{2\epsilon}(0))\cap D_r}\nabla_g\phi\nabla_g\left(\eta_\epsilon(f)\phi\right)d\mu_g\right| &= \left|\int_{f^{-1}(B_{2\epsilon}\setminus B_\epsilon(0))\cap D_r}\nabla_g\phi\nabla_g\left(\eta_\epsilon(f)\phi\right)d\mu_g\right| \\
&= \left|\int_{f^{-1}(B_{2\epsilon}\setminus B_\epsilon(0))\cap D_r}\left(\phi\nabla_g\phi\nabla_g\eta_\epsilon(f)+\eta_\epsilon(f)|\nabla_g\phi|^2\right)d\mu_g\right| \\
&\le \|\phi\|_{L^\infty}\left(\int_{f^{-1}(B_{2\epsilon}\setminus B_\epsilon(0))\cap D_r}|\nabla_g\phi|^2 d\mu_g\right)^{\frac12}\left(\frac{\mu(\Sigma_r\cap B_{2\epsilon}(0))}{\epsilon^2}\right)^{\frac12} \\
&\quad +\int_{f^{-1}(B_{2\epsilon}\setminus B_\epsilon(0))\cap D_r}|\nabla_g\phi|^2 d\mu_g,
\end{aligned}$$

where we used the following identity

$$\mu_g(f^{-1}(B_{2\epsilon}\setminus B_\epsilon(0))\cap D_r)=\mu(\Sigma_r\cap(B_{2\epsilon}\setminus B_\epsilon(0))).$$

By Lemma 2.2, we can apply Simon's monotonicity formula for surfaces with square integrable mean curvature [10], see also [5], to assert

$$\frac{\mu(\Sigma_r\cap B_{2\epsilon}(0))}{\epsilon^2}<C.$$

Since the Dirichlet energy of ϕ is finite over $D_r\backslash\{0\}$ and $\mu_g(f^{-1}(B_{2\epsilon}\backslash B_\epsilon(0))\cap D_r)\to 0$ as $\epsilon\to 0$, it follows from the the continuity of integration that

$$\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\int_{f^{-1}(B_{2\epsilon}\backslash B_\epsilon(0))\cap D_r}|\nabla_g\phi|^2 d\mu_g = 0,$$

which in turn implies

$$\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\left|\int_{f^{-1}(B_{2\epsilon}(0))\cap D_r}\nabla_g\phi\nabla_g\left(\eta_\epsilon(f)\phi\right)d\mu_g\right| = 0.$$

Since $\eta_\epsilon(f)\phi$ is smooth on D_r and is 0 in a neighborhood of 0, by the harmonicity of ϕ,

$$\int_{D_r}\nabla_g\phi\nabla_g\left(\eta_\epsilon(f)\phi\right) = 0.$$

Then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{D_r}|\nabla_g\phi|^2 d\mu_g &= \lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\int_{D_r\backslash f^{-1}(B_{2\epsilon}(0))}|\nabla_g\phi|^2 d\mu_g\\
&= \lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\int_{D_r\backslash f^{-1}(B_{2\epsilon}(0))}\nabla_g\phi\nabla_g\left(\eta_\epsilon(f)\phi\right)d\mu_g\\
&= \lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\int_{D_r}\nabla_g\phi\nabla_g\left(\eta_\epsilon(f)\phi\right)d\mu_g - \lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\int_{f^{-1}(B_{2\epsilon}(0))\cap D_r}\nabla_g\phi\nabla_g\left(\eta_\epsilon(f)\phi\right)d\mu_g\\
&= 0
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore ϕ must be a constant and identically 0 as it vanishes on ∂D_r. □

Proof of Theorem 2.1: Since g is positive definite on $D\backslash\{0\}$, by the uniformization theorem for Riemann surfaces, the punctured Riemannian disk $(D\backslash\{0\},g)$ is conformally equivalent to one and only one of the three: (i) a finite annulus $(D\backslash\overline{D}_{r_0},g_0)$ for some $r_0\in(0,1)$, (ii) the punctured plane $(\mathbb{C}\backslash\{0\},g_0)$, (iii) the punctured disk $(D\backslash\{0\},g_0)$. Here g_0 denotes the Euclidean metric. Now we fix a positive number $r<1$.

In Case (i), $(D_r\backslash\{0\},g)$ is conformal to $(D\backslash\overline{D}_{r_0})$ for some $r_0\in(0,1)$. There exists a nonconstant bounded harmonic function h on $(D\backslash\overline{D}_{r_0},g_0)$ with finite energy, which vanishes on either the inner circle ∂D_{r_0} or the outer circle ∂D but not both. Note that both harmonicity and the energy of h are invariant under conformal diffeomorphisms of the 2-dimensional domain. Moreover, any conformal diffeomorphism ψ between $(D_r\backslash\{0\},g)$ and $(D\backslash\overline{D}_{r_0},g_0)$ either maps $\partial D_r\to\partial D$ or $\partial D_r\to\partial D_{r_0}$. Without loss of any generality we may assume that $h\circ\psi$ equals 0 on ∂D_r. However, Lemma 2.3 asserts $h\circ\psi$ must be 0. This shows that Case (i) cannot happen.

In Case (ii), there exists a conformal diffeomorphism $\varphi:(D\backslash\{0\},g)\to(\mathbb{C}\backslash\{0\},g_0)$. Then $\varphi(D_r\backslash\{0\})$ stays inside or outside the embedded closed curve $\varphi(\partial D_r)$ in $\mathbb{C}$. In the former case, $(D_r\backslash\{0\},g)$ is conformally diffeomorphic to $(D\backslash\{0\},g_0)$ by the Riemann mapping theorem since ∂D_r bounds a simply connected domain in $\mathbb{C}$ by the Jordan curve theorem. In the latter case, by using an inversion $\frac{z-p}{|z-p|^2}$ for some point p in the interior of the bounded domain enclosed by ∂D_r, we see that $(D_r\backslash\{0\},g)$ is conformally equivalent to a bounded simply connected domain punctured once, which is conformal to $(D\backslash\{0\},g_0)$.

In Case (iii), $(D_r\backslash\{0\}, g)$ is conformally equivalent to a simply connected domain in D with one puncture, hence conformal to $(D\backslash\{0\}, g_0)$. □

The decay rate (2) in Theorem 2.1 on the area of Σ inside small exterior balls can be achieved under an assumption on length and this leads to

Corollary 2.4. *Let $f : D \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be a continuous map with $f(0) = 0$ and $f|_{D\backslash\{0\}}$ is a smooth immersion. Assume $\int_{\Sigma\backslash\{0\}} |H|_g^2 d\mu_g < \infty$. If $\mathcal{H}^1(\Sigma \cap \partial B_\epsilon(0)) \to 0$ as $\epsilon \to 0$, where $\mathcal{H}^1$ is the 1-dimensional Hausdorff measure, then the conclusion of Theorem 2.1 holds.*

Proof. It suffices to show (2) in Theorem 2.1 holds on Σ_r for any fixed $r \in (0, 1)$. The position vector f in $\mathbb{R}^n$ satisfies

$$\operatorname{div}_\Sigma f = 2$$

on $D\backslash\{0\}$ and

$$\operatorname{div}_\Sigma f = \operatorname{div}_\Sigma f^T - f \cdot H$$

where f^T denotes the tangential part of f and $\operatorname{div}_\Sigma$ is the divergence along Σ. It follows

$$\operatorname{div}_\Sigma f^T = 2 + f \cdot H. \tag{2.3}$$

Let $\rho(x)$ be the distance from x to 0 in $\mathbb{R}^n$. For $\rho > 0$, $\mu(\Sigma \cap \partial B_\rho(0))$ depends on ρ continuously. By Sard's theorem, the regular values of the restriction of ρ to Σ are dense, we may assume that $\epsilon_k > 0$ and $\delta > 0$ are regular values of $\rho|_\Sigma$ and $\epsilon_k \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$; therefore, for any $0 < r < 1$, the surface

$$\Sigma_{\delta,\epsilon_k} := \Sigma_r \cap (B_\delta(0)\backslash B_{\epsilon_k}(0))$$

has compact closure and smooth boundary $\Gamma_\delta \cup \Gamma_{\epsilon_k}$. Integrating (2.3) over $\Sigma_{\delta,\epsilon_k}$ leads to

$$\begin{aligned} 2\mu(\Sigma_{\delta,\epsilon_k}) &\le 2\delta \int_{\Sigma_{\delta,\epsilon_k}} |H| d\mu_g + \int_{\Sigma_{\delta,\epsilon_k}} \operatorname{div}_\Sigma f^T d\mu_g \\ &\le 2\delta \mu(\Sigma_{\delta,\epsilon_k})^{1/2} \left(\int_{\Sigma_{\delta,\epsilon_k}} |H|^2 \right)^{1/2} + \int_{\Gamma_\delta \cup \Gamma_{\epsilon_k}} f^T \cdot \nu \\ &\le \mu(\Sigma_{\delta,\epsilon_k}) + \delta^2 \int_{\Sigma_{\delta,\epsilon_k}} |H|^2 + \int_{\Gamma_\delta \cup \Gamma_{\epsilon_k}} f^T \cdot \nu \end{aligned}$$

where ν is the unit outward normal to $\Sigma_{\delta,\epsilon_k}$ at its boundary $\Gamma_\delta \cup \Gamma_{\epsilon_k}$. Noting that ν is tangent to $\Sigma_{\delta,\epsilon_k}$, $f^T \cdot \nu = f \cdot \nu \le 0$ on Γ_{ϵ_k}. Letting $k \to \infty$ and using $|f^T \cdot \nu| \le |f|$, we see

$$\mu(\Sigma_r \cap B_\delta(0)) \le \delta^2 \int_{\Sigma \cap B_\delta(0)\backslash\{0\}} |H|^2 + \delta \mu(\Gamma_\delta) \tag{2.4}$$

where $\mu(\Gamma_\delta) = \mu_g(f^{-1}(\partial B_\delta(0)))$ is the total length of the preimage curve of Γ_δ in D measured in g. Replacing δ by ϵ_k in (2.4) and using the assumption that $\mathcal{H}^1(\Sigma_r \cap \partial B_{\epsilon_k}(0)) \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$ and $|H|^2$ is integrable over $\Sigma\backslash\{0\}$, it is evident that $\mu(\Sigma_r \cap B_{\epsilon_k}(0))/\epsilon_k \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$. □

3. $W^{2,2}$ Lipschitz immersions from a punctured disk

In this section, we will be mainly concerned with immersions, that are not necessarily smooth, from punctured surfaces into $\mathbb{R}^n$.

3.1. **Conformal type of $W^{2,2}$-conformal immersions of $D\backslash\{0\}$.** Let ω be twice the standard Kähler form of $\mathbb{CP}^n$ and denote $W_0^{1,2}(\mathbb{C})$ the space of functions $v \in L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{C})$ with $\nabla v \in L^2(\mathbb{C})$. We set $J(\varphi) = |D\varphi \wedge D\varphi|$ to denote the Jacobi of φ.

Theorem 3.1 (Müller-Šverák [7])**.** *Let $\varphi \in W_0^{1,2}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{CP}^n)$ satisfy*

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}} \varphi^*\omega = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\mathbb{C}} J(\varphi) \leq \gamma < 2\pi.$$

Then there is a unique function $v \in W_0^{1,2}(\mathbb{C})$ solving the equation $-\Delta v = *\varphi^*\omega$ in $\mathbb{C}$ with boundary condition $\lim_{z\to\infty} v(z) = 0$. Moreover

$$\|v\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{C})} + \|\nabla v\|_{L^2(\mathbb{C})} \leq C(\gamma) \int_{\mathbb{C}} |\nabla\varphi|^2.$$

We include the following result in [6] for completeness.

Corollary 3.2. *Let $\varphi \in W^{1,2}(D, \mathbb{CP}^n)$ satisfy*

$$\int_D J(\varphi) \leq \gamma < 2\pi.$$

Then there is a continuous function $v \in W_0^{1,2}(\mathbb{C}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{C})$ solving the equation $-\Delta v = *\varphi^*\omega$ in D and satisfying the estimates

$$\|v\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{C})} + \|\nabla v\|_{L^2(\mathbb{C})} \leq C(\gamma) \int_D |\nabla\varphi|^2.$$

Proof. Define the map $\varphi' : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{CP}^{n-1}$ by

$$\varphi'(z) = \begin{cases} \varphi(z) & \text{if } z \in D \\ \varphi(\frac{1}{\overline{z}}) & \text{if } z \in \mathbb{C}\backslash\overline{D} \end{cases}$$

and taking the trace of φ on ∂D for φ' there. Then $\varphi' \in W_0^{1,2}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{CP}^{n-1})$ and

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}} \varphi'^*\omega = 0, \quad \int_{\mathbb{C}} J(\varphi') = 2\int_D J(\varphi).$$

The desired result then follows from Theorem 3.1. □

Lemma 3.3. *Let $0 < a < 1$ and $\varphi \in W^{1,2}(D\backslash\overline{D_a}, \mathbb{CP}^n)$. There is a constant $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that if $\|\nabla\varphi\|_{L^2} < \epsilon_0$, then we can find $v \in L^\infty(D\backslash\overline{D}_a)$ which solves the equation $-\Delta v = *\varphi^*\omega$ in $D\backslash\overline{D}_a$ and satisfies the estimates*

$$\|v\|_{L^\infty(D\backslash\overline{D}_a)} \leq C(a)\|\nabla\varphi\|_{L^2(D\backslash\overline{D}_a)}.$$

Proof. Let

$$\widetilde{\varphi}(z) = \begin{cases} \varphi(\frac{1}{\overline{z}}) & \text{if } \ 1 < |z| < \frac{1}{a} \\ \varphi(z) & \text{if } \ a \leq |z| \leq 1 \\ \varphi(\frac{a^2}{\overline{z}}) & \text{if } \ a^2 < |z| < a. \end{cases}$$

It follows $\widetilde{\varphi} \in W^{1,2}(D_{\frac{1}{a}}\backslash\overline{D}_a, \mathbb{CP}^n)$ as

$$\int_{D_{\frac{1}{a}}\backslash\overline{D}_{a^2}} |\nabla\widetilde{\varphi}|^2 = 3\int_{D\backslash\overline{D}_a} |\nabla\varphi|^2, \quad \int_{D_{\frac{1}{a}}\backslash\overline{D}_{a^2}} |\widetilde{\varphi}|^2 \leq C(a)\int_{D\backslash\overline{D}_a} |\varphi|^2$$

by the conformal invariance of the Dirichlet integral. Cover the annulus $D_{\frac{1}{a}}\backslash\overline{D}_a$ by countably many open disks such that every point is contained in finitely many such disks. Take a partition of unity subordinates to this cover: there exist smooth functions ρ_i on the annulus such that

(1) $0 \leq \rho_i \leq 1$, and $\operatorname{supp}\rho_i \subset\subset D_{\frac{1}{a}}\backslash\overline{D}_{a^2}$.
(2) $\sum_i \rho_i(z) = 1$, $\forall z \in D_{\frac{1}{a}}\backslash\overline{D}_{a^2}$.
(3) For any $z \in D_{\frac{1}{a}}\backslash\overline{D}_{a^2}$, there is a neighborhood V of z, such that there are only finitely many ρ_i with $\operatorname{supp}\rho_i \cap V \neq \emptyset$.

By (3), there are only finitely many ρ_i whose support intersects the compact set $\overline{D}\backslash D_a$ and we label them as $\rho_1, \cdots, \rho_m$, and assume $\operatorname{supp}\rho_i \subset D_{r_i}(z_i)$, where $D_{r_i}(z_i) \subset D_{\frac{1}{a}}\backslash\overline{D}_{a^2}$. By Corollary 3.2, we can find $v_i \in L^\infty(\mathbb{C}) \cap W^{1,2}(\mathbb{C})$, which solves the equation

$$-\Delta v_i = *\widetilde{\varphi}^*\omega, \quad \forall z \in D_{r_i}(z_i),$$

such that

$$\|v_i\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{C})} + \|\nabla v_i\|_{L^2(\mathbb{C})} \leq C\|\nabla\widetilde{\varphi}\|_{L^2(D_{r_i}(z_i))}.$$

Then on $D\backslash\overline{D}_a$,

$$-\Delta\sum_{i=1}^m \rho_i v_i = *\widetilde{\varphi}^*\omega - 2\sum_{i=1}^m \nabla\rho_i\nabla v_i - \sum_{i=1}^m v_i\Delta\rho_i.$$

Let v' be the solution to the Dirichlet problem in D:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta v' &= 2\sum\limits_{i=1}^m \nabla\rho_i\nabla v_i + \sum\limits_{i=1}^m v_i\Delta\rho_i, \\ v'|_{\partial D} &= 0. \end{cases}$$

Since

$$\|\Delta v'\|_{L^2(D)} < C(\max_i \|\Delta\rho_i\|_{C^0}, \max_i \|\nabla\rho_i\|_{C^0}) \sum_i \|v_i\|^2_{W^{1,2}(D)} < C\|\nabla\varphi\|_{L^2(D\backslash\overline{D_a})},$$

the elliptic estimates implies

$$\|v'\|_{L^\infty(D)} < C\|\nabla\varphi\|_{L^2(D_{\frac{1}{a}}\backslash\overline{D}_{a^2})}.$$

Let $v = \sum_{i=1}^m \rho_i v_i + v'$. Then

$$-\Delta v = *\varphi^*\omega, \quad z \in D\backslash\overline{D}_a$$

and

$$\|v\|_{L^\infty(D)} < C\|\nabla\varphi\|_{L^2(D)}$$

where C depends on $\|\Delta\rho_i\|_{C^0}$ and $\|\nabla\rho_i\|_{C^0}$ for $i = 1, ..., m$. □

For a conformal immersion $f : D \to \mathbb{R}^n$, let $G \in W^{1,2}(D, \mathbb{CP}^{n-1})$ be the associated Gauß map. Here we embed the Grassmannian $G(2,n)$ of oriented 2-planes into $\mathbb{CP}^{n-1}$ by sending an orthonormal basis $\{e_1, e_2\}$ to $[(e_1 + ie_2)/\sqrt{2}]$. Then

$$K_g e^{2u} = *G^*\omega \quad \text{and} \quad \int_D |\nabla G|^2 = \frac{1}{2}\int_D |A|^2 \, d\mu_g \tag{3.1}$$

where K_g is the Gauß curvature and A is the second fundamental form of $f(D)$ (cf. [7]).

Proposition 3.4. *Let $a \in (0,1)$ and $f \in W^{2,2}_{conf,loc}(D\backslash\overline{D_a}, \mathbb{R}^n)$. Set $g = df \otimes df$ and let Γ be the set of closed embedded curves that are nontrivial in $\pi_1(D\backslash\overline{D_a})$. Then*

$$\inf_{\gamma\in\Gamma} L_g(\gamma) > 0$$

where $L_g(\gamma)$ denotes the length of γ measured in g.

Proof. Assume there exists $\gamma_k \in \Gamma$, such that $L_g(\gamma_k) \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$. We consider γ_k as a smooth map from $[0,1]$ to $D\backslash\overline{D_a}$ with $\gamma_k(0) = \gamma_k(1)$. Let $g = e^{2u}g_0$. Then

$$\int_{\gamma_k} e^u = \int_0^1 e^{u(\gamma_k)}\sqrt{(x_k')^2 + (y_k')^2}\, dt = L_g(\gamma_k) \to 0 \ \text{ as } k \to \infty. \tag{3.2}$$

Claim. For any $\epsilon > 0$, $\gamma_k \subset (D\backslash\overline{D_{1-\epsilon}}) \cup (D_{a+\epsilon}\backslash\overline{D_a})$ for sufficiently large k.

Assume the claim is not true. Without loss of generality, we may assume $\gamma_k(0) \in \overline{D_{1-\epsilon}}\backslash D_{a+\epsilon}$. Since $f \in W^{2,2}_{loc}(D\backslash\overline{D_a}, \mathbb{R}^n)$, we can find $C = C(\epsilon, f)$, such that for any $\gamma \subset D_{1-\frac{\epsilon}{2}}\backslash D_{a+\frac{\epsilon}{2}}$,

$$L_g(\gamma) \geq CL(\gamma),$$

where L is the length of γ measured in the Euclidean metric g_0. If $\gamma_k \subset D_{1-\frac{\epsilon}{2}}\backslash D_{a+\frac{\epsilon}{2}}$, then

$$L_g(\gamma_k) \geq CL(\gamma) \geq C\pi\epsilon.$$

If γ_k is not in $D_{1-\frac{\epsilon}{2}}\backslash D_{a+\frac{\epsilon}{2}}$, then we can find t_0, such that $\gamma_k(t_0) \in \partial D_{1-\frac{\epsilon}{2}} \cup \partial D_{a+\frac{\epsilon}{2}}$, and

$$\gamma([0,t_0)) \subset D_{1-\frac{\epsilon}{2}}\backslash D_{a+\frac{\epsilon}{2}}.$$

Thus,

$$L_g(\gamma_k) \geq L_g(\gamma_k|_{[0,t_0]}) \geq CL(\gamma_k|_{[0,t_0]}) \geq C|\gamma_k(t_0) - \gamma_k(0)| \geq \frac{C\epsilon}{2}.$$

It contradicts the fact that $L_g(\gamma_k) \to 0$. Now the Claim is established.

Without loss of generality, we may assume $\gamma_k \subset D_{a+\epsilon}\backslash\overline{D_a}$ for sufficiently large k, because $f(\frac{a}{z})$ is also in $W^{2,2}_{conf,loc}(D\backslash\overline{D_a}, \mathbb{R}^n)$ and the metric induced by $f(\frac{a}{z})$ is uniformly equivalent to g. By Lemma 3.3, there exists a smooth bounded function v on $\mathbb{C}$ solving the equation

$$-\Delta v = Ke^{2u}$$

on $D\backslash\overline{D}_a$, where K is the Gauß curvature of g and Δ is the Euclidean Laplacian. Noting that u is also a solution to the same equation, $u - v$ is a harmonic function on $D\backslash\overline{D}_a$ with respect to g_0. Consider the harmonic function w on $D\backslash\overline{D}_a$ defined by

$$w = u - v - \lambda \log|z|, \quad \text{where } \lambda = \frac{1}{2\pi r_0}\int_{\partial D_{r_0}} \frac{\partial(u-v)}{\partial r}, \quad \text{and} \quad r_0 \in (a,1).$$

Then we can find a holomorphic function F on $D\backslash\overline{D}_a$ with real part w (cf. [2], Theorem 15.1.3). Evidently, e^F is holomorphic on $D\backslash\overline{D}_a$, with $|e^F| = e^w$.

Since $|z| \in (a,1)$ and $\|v\|_{L^\infty} < +\infty$, it follows from the definition of w that

$$w(z) \leq u(z) + C(a, \lambda, \|v\|_{L^\infty}).$$

Then

$$\left|\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\gamma_k} \frac{e^{F(\zeta)}}{\zeta - z}\, d\zeta\right| < C\int_{\gamma_k} |e^F| < C\int_{\gamma_k} e^u \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad k \to \infty.$$

Let $\gamma_{\epsilon_0} = (a+\epsilon_0)(\cos\theta, \sin\theta)$ for some fixed $\epsilon_0 > 0$. The Deformation Invariance Theorem for holomorphic functions then asserts

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\gamma_{\epsilon_0}} \frac{e^{F(\zeta)}}{\zeta - z}\, d\zeta = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\lim_{k\to\infty}\int_{\gamma_k} \frac{e^{F(\zeta)}}{\zeta - z}\, d\zeta = 0.$$

Cauchy's integral formula implies, for $z \in D_R\backslash\overline{D}_{\epsilon_0}, R \in (a+\epsilon_0, 1)$,

$$e^{F(z)} = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\partial D_R} \frac{e^{F(\zeta)}}{\zeta - z}\, d\zeta - \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\gamma_{\epsilon_0}} \frac{e^{F(\zeta)}}{\zeta - z}\, d\zeta = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\partial D_R} \frac{e^{F(\zeta)}}{\zeta - z}\, d\zeta$$

where all loops are positively oriented. However, the Cauchy integral on the right hand side defines a holomorphic function on entire D_R, hence, e^F can be extended to a holomorphic $\mathcal{F}$ on D_R. By Cauchy's integral formula, for any $z \in D_{a/2}$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{F}(z)| &= \left|\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\gamma_k} \frac{\mathcal{F}(\zeta)}{\zeta - z}\, d\zeta\right| \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi}\left|\int_{\gamma_k} \frac{e^{F(\zeta)}}{\zeta - z}\, d\zeta\right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{a\pi}\int_{\gamma_k} |e^F| \end{aligned}$$

where in the second equality we used the fact that $\mathcal{F}$ equals e^F on γ_k. But (3.2) then implies $\mathcal{F}(z) = 0$ by letting $k \to \infty$, which in turn asserts $\mathcal{F}(z) = 0$. Since z is arbitrary in $D_{a/2}$, we conclude that $\mathcal{F}$ vanishes identically on $D_{a/2}$, hence on D_R. This further implies that e^F must vanish identically. But this is impossible for it could only happen if $w = -\infty$ everywhere in $D\backslash\overline{D}_a$. □

Theorem 3.5. *Let $f : D\backslash\{0\} \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be a smooth immersion that satisfies*

(1) $\|\nabla f\|_{L^\infty(D\backslash\{0\})} < +\infty$,

(2) $\int_D |A|^2 < +\infty$, *where A is the second fundamental form of f on $D\backslash\{0\}$.*

Set $g = df \otimes df$. Then for any $\delta \in (0,1)$, $(D_\delta\backslash\{0\}, g)$ is conformal to $(D\backslash\{0\}, g_0)$.

Proof. In light of the proof of Theorem 2.1, the punctured Riemannian disk $(D\backslash\{0\}, g)$ has only three distinct conformal types, and to establish the theorem, it suffices to prove that $(D\backslash\{0\}, g)$ is not conformal to $(D\backslash\overline{D}_a, g_0)$ for any $a \in (0,1)$.

Suppose there is a conformal diffeomorphism

$$\varphi : D\backslash\overline{D}_a \to D\backslash\{0\}$$

such that

$$\overline{g}(z) := (\varphi^* g)(z) = e^{2u(z)} g_0(z), \quad z \in D\backslash\overline{D}_a.$$

Then $f \circ \varphi$ is a conformal immersion of $D\backslash\overline{D_a}$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $\bar{g} = d(f \circ \varphi) \otimes d(f \circ \varphi)$.

Let $\gamma_\epsilon(\theta) = (\epsilon \cos\theta, \epsilon \sin\theta)$ on $D\backslash\{0\}$. Since $\|\nabla f\|_{L^\infty(D)} < +\infty$, the length of γ_ϵ in the induced metric g by f satisfies

$$L_g(\gamma_\epsilon) = \int_0^{2\pi} \sqrt{g(\gamma_\epsilon'(\theta), \gamma_\epsilon'(\theta))}\, d\theta = O(\epsilon) \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad \epsilon \to 0.$$

Therefore, $L_{\bar{g}}(\varphi^{-1}(\gamma_\epsilon)) = L_g(\gamma_\epsilon) \to 0$ as $\epsilon \to 0$, which contradicts Proposition 3.4. □

Remark. Theorem 3.5 is not true if we replace $\|\nabla f\|_{L^\infty} < +\infty$ with $\mu(f) < +\infty$. For example, $f(z) = f(re^{i\theta}) = (e^{i\theta}, r)$ is a smooth immersion of $D\backslash\{0\}$ in $\mathbb{R}^3$ with $\mu(f) + \|A\|_{L^2} < +\infty$. However, $f(D\backslash\{0\}) = S^1 \times (0,1)$ is not conformal to $D\backslash\{0\}$.

3.2. **Existence of conformal structures of non-smooth immersions away from the puncture.** We first define the function space that we will work with. For $0 < \lambda < \Lambda$, we denote

$$BL^n(D, \lambda, \Lambda) = \{f \in W^{2,2}(D, \mathbb{R}^n) : \lambda g_0 < df \otimes df < \Lambda g_0, \quad \text{a.e. } x \in D\}.$$

Every $f \in BL^n(D, \lambda, \Lambda)$ is a local embedding in the sense of the following lemma.

Lemma 3.6. *Let $f \in BL^n(D, \lambda, \Lambda)$. Then there exist δ and $\lambda_1 > 0$ which only depend on λ and Λ, such that*

$$\frac{|f(x) - f(x')|}{|x - x'|} \geq \lambda_1, \quad \forall\, |x|, |x'| < \delta.$$

Proof. Assume this is not true. Then we can find $x_k, x_k' \in D$, such that $x_k, x_k' \to 0$ and

$$\frac{|f(x_k) - f(x_k')|}{|x_k - x_k'|} \to 0, \quad \text{as } k \to \infty.$$

Write $x_k' = x_k + r_k e_k$ for some unit vector e_k for $r_k = |x_k - x_k'|$ and define

$$f_k(x) = \frac{f(x_k + r_k x) - f(x_k)}{r_k}.$$

Without loss of generality, we assume $e_k \to e := (0,1) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Then $f_k(e) \to 0 \in \mathbb{R}^2$ as

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x_k + r_k e) - f(x_k)| &\leq |f(x_k + r_k e_k) - f(x_k)| + |f(x_k + r_k e) - f(x_k + r_k e_k)| \\ &\leq \mathrm{o}(r_k) + \Lambda r_k |e_k - e|. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to check that

$$\int_{D_2} |\nabla^2 f_k|^2 \to 0, \quad \|\nabla f_k\|_{L^\infty(D_2)} < \Lambda.$$

Then we may assume $f_k \to f_0 = ax^1 + bx^2$ for some $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^n$ strongly in $W^{2,2}(D) \cap C^0(D)$. Thus $f_0(0,1) = 0$ which implies $b = 0$.

However, since $df_k \otimes df_k(x) = df \otimes df(x_k + r_k x)$, we have $df_k \otimes df_k \geq \lambda((dx^1)^2 + (dx^2)^2)$ for a.e. x, and $df_k \otimes df_k$ converges strongly in L^p for any $p > 0$. Thus $b \neq 0$ as $\lambda > 0$. A contradiction. □

Next, we show that every f in $BL^n(D,\lambda,\Lambda)$, which is an embedding in the sense of Lemma 3.6, can be approximated by smooth ones with similar geometric properties.

Lemma 3.7. *Let $f \in BL^n(D,\lambda,\Lambda)$ and assume f is an embedding. Then we can find $f_k \in C^\infty(D,\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that for any fixed $r \in (0,1)$, f_k is an embedding on D_r and f_k converges to f strongly in $W^{2,2}(D_r)$. Moreover, we have for large k*

$$\frac{\lambda}{2}g_0 < df_k \otimes df_k < 2\Lambda g_0 \ \text{ on } D_r, \quad \lim_{k\to+\infty}\int_{D_r}|A_{f_k}|^2 = \int_{D_r}|A_f|^2 d\mu_f. \tag{3.3}$$

Proof. Take a nonnegative cut-off function η supported in D with $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\eta = 1$. Define

$$f_k(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(x - t_k y)\eta(y)d\sigma_y, \quad \text{where} \ \ x \in D_r \ \ \text{and} \ \ t_k \to 0. \tag{3.4}$$

Then f_k is smooth and converges to f strongly in $W^{2,2}(D_r)$.

First, we prove that

$$df_k \otimes df_k(x) \geq \frac{\lambda}{2}g_0$$

when $x \in D_r$ and k is sufficiently large. Assume this is not true. Then we can find $x_k \in D_r$, such that

$$df_k \otimes df_k(x_k) < \frac{\lambda}{2}g_0$$

and we may assume $x_k \to x_0 \in \overline{D}_r$. By (3.4), we have

$$\nabla f_k(x_k) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2}(\nabla_x f(x_k - t_k y))\eta(y)d\sigma_y = -\int_D \left(\nabla_y \frac{f(x_k - t_k y) - f(x_k)}{t_k}\right)\eta(y)d\sigma_y.$$

Let

$$f_k'(y) = \frac{f(x_k - t_k y) - f(x_k)}{t_k}.$$

Since

$$|\nabla_y f_k'| < \sqrt{2\Lambda}$$

and

$$\int_D |\nabla_y^2 f_k'|^2 d\sigma_y = \int_{D_{t_k}(x_k)} |\nabla^2 f|^2 d\sigma \to 0,$$

we may assume that $f_k'(y)$ converges to $ay^1 + by^2$ strongly in $W^{2,2}(D)$ for some $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then we get

$$\nabla f_k(x_k) \to \int_D (a,b)\eta = (a,b).$$

However, since $df_k' \otimes df_k' \geq \lambda g_0$, we have $|a|^2, |b|^2 \geq \lambda$. It contradicts the choice of x_k. Thus we can conclude $df_k \otimes df_k \geq \frac{\lambda}{2}g_0$. The upper estimate $df_k \otimes df_k \leq 2\Lambda g_0$ is obvious.

Next, we prove that f_k is an embedding on D_r for large k. Since f_k is smooth with $df_k \otimes df_k \geq \frac{\lambda}{2}g_0$, we can find $\delta > 0$, such that f_k is an embedding on $D_\delta(x)$ for any $x \in D_r$. Assume f_k is not an embedding on D_r. Then we can find x_k and x_k' in D_r with $|x_k - x_k'| \geq \delta > 0$ and $f_k(x_k) = f_k(x_k')$. Up to a subsequence, assume $x_k \to x_0$ and $x_k' \to x_0'$. Since f_k converges to f in $C^0(D_r)$, we get $f(x_0) = f(x_0')$ which contradicts that f is an embedding. Therefore, f_k is an embedding for all large k.

Lastly, we prove the equality in (3.3). Since f_k converges strongly to f in $W^{2,2}(D_r)$ for any r, ∇f_k converges strongly in L^2. Then a subsequence of ∇f_k converges almost everywhere. By Egorov's Theorem, for any $\delta > 0$, we can choose E, s.t. $\mu(D_r \backslash E) < \delta$, and ∇f_k converges uniformly on E. The second fundamental form A_k is the normal component of the Hessian of f_k: for $1 \le i, j, p, q, m \le 2$

$$A_{k,ij} = \frac{\partial^2 f_k}{\partial x^i x^j} - \frac{\partial^2 f_k}{\partial x^i x^j} \cdot \sum_{m,p} \frac{\partial f_k}{\partial x^m} g_k^{mp} \frac{\partial f_k}{\partial x^p}, \tag{3.5}$$

where $(g_k^{pq}) = \left(\frac{\partial f_k}{\partial x^p} \cdot \frac{\partial f_k}{\partial x^q}\right)^{-1}$ is the inverse matrix of g_k. Since

$$g_k^{-1} = \frac{1}{\det(g_k)} \begin{pmatrix} g_{k,22} & -g_{k,12} \\ -g_{k,12} & g_{k,11} \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\frac{\lambda^2}{4} \le \det(g_k)$$

because $\frac{\lambda}{2} g_0 \le df_k \otimes df_k$, the inverse matrix g_k^{-1} is bounded: $|g_k^{pq}| < C$. Then the uniform convergence of ∇f_k on E and the strong convergence of f_k in $W^{2,2}(D_r)$ imply

$$\int_E |A_f|^2 d\mu_f = \lim_{k \to +\infty} \int_E |A_{f_k}|^2 d\mu_{f_k}.$$

Moreover, using $|\nabla f_k| \le \sqrt{2\Lambda}$ on $D_r \backslash E$, we have

$$\left(\int_{D_r \backslash E} |A_{f_k}|^2 d\mu_{f_k} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} < C \|\nabla^2 f_k\|_{L^2(D_r \backslash E)} \to C \|\nabla^2 f\|_{L^2(D_r \backslash E)}, \quad \text{as } k \to \infty.$$

Then

$$\limsup_{k \to +\infty} \left| \int_{D_r} |A_{f_k}|^2 d\mu_{f_k} - \int_{D_r} |A_f|^2 d\mu_f \right| \le C \int_{D_r \backslash E} |\nabla^2 f|^2 dx + \int_{D_r \backslash E} |A_f|^2.$$

Recall $|A_f| \in L^2(D)$ by assumption. Letting $\mu(D_r \backslash E) \to 0$, we finish the proof. □

Next, we prove that a bi-Lipschitz immersion of D in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with small $\|A\|_{L^2}$ must be a $W^{2,2}$ conformal immersion. We begin with

Lemma 3.8. *Let f_k be a smooth conformal immersion of D in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with*

$$\liminf_{r \to 1} d_{g_k}(0, \partial D_r) \ge \delta > 0 \tag{3.6}$$

where d_{g_k} is the distance function w.r.t. the metric $g_k = df_k \otimes df_k$, δ is a constant and

$$\int_D |A_{f_k}|^2 d\mu_{f_k} < 4\pi - \gamma.$$

Assume $g_k = e^{2u_k} g_0$, $\mu_{g_k}(D) \le \Lambda$ and $f_k(0) = 0$. Then f_k converges weakly in $W^{2,2}(D_r)$ to a nonconstant $W^{2,2}$-conformal map, for any $r < 1$. Moreover, we have

$$\|u_k\|_{L^\infty(D_r)} + \|\nabla u_k\|_{L^2(D_r)} < C(\gamma, \Lambda, r), \quad \forall r \in (0, 1).$$

Proof. By Theorem 5.1.1 in [4], it suffices to show f_k does not converge to a point. Assume, on the contrary, that f_k converges to a point. Then u_k converges to $-\infty$ uniformly on $D_{\frac{1}{2}}$ (cf. [4], [6]). By (3.6), for any fixed θ, we have

$$\int_0^1 e^{u_k(te^{i\theta})}dt \geq \liminf_{r\to 1} d_{g_k}(0,\partial D_r) \geq \delta.$$

Then we can find $r_k \in (\frac{1}{2},1)$ such that $u_k(r_k e^{i\theta}) > c(\delta)$ for all large k.

By (3.1) and Corollary 3.2, there is a function v_k with $\|v_k\|_{L^\infty(D)} < C(\gamma)$ solving

$$-\Delta v_k = K_{f_k} e^{2u_k}.$$

Then we have

(1) $(u_k - v_k) \to -\infty$ uniformly on $D_{\frac{1}{2}}$;
(2) for any fixed θ, there exists $r_k \in (\frac{1}{2},1)$, such that $(u_k - v_k)(r_k e^{i\theta}) \geq c'(\delta)$. Here $c'(\delta)$ may be negative.

It follows that $u_k - v_k$ has a minimum in D. The strong maximum principle implies the harmonic function $u_k - v_k$ must be constant. However,

$$c'(\delta) \leq (u_k - v_k)(r_k e^{i\theta}) = \min_D (u_k - v_k) \to -\infty \quad \text{as} \quad k \to \infty$$

yields a contradiction. □

Now we are ready to show the existence of conformal structures for the weak immersions with small total curvature.

Proposition 3.9. *Let $f \in BL^n(D_2,\lambda,\Lambda)$ and assume f is an embedding with*

$$\int_{D_2} |A_f|^2 < 4\pi - \gamma.$$

Then there exists a bijective map $\varphi : D \to D$, such that $\varphi, \varphi^{-1} \in W^{2,2}_{loc}(D) \cap W^{1,\infty}_{loc}(D)$ and $f \circ \varphi \in W^{2,2}_{conf,loc}(D,\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.7, there exists a sequence of smooth embeddings $\{f_k\}$ converging to f strongly in $W^{2,2}(D_r)$ for any $r \in (0,2)$ with $\|A_{f_k}\|_{L^2(D_r)} \to \|A_f\|_{L^2(D_r)}$ and $\mu(D_r,g_k) \to \mu(D_r,g_f) < \infty$ as $k \to \infty$, where g_k, g_f are the induced metrics by f_k, f, respectively.

Since f_k is smooth, the Riemannian disk (D_2,g_k) is either conformal to $\mathbb{C}$ or (D,g_0) by the uniformization theorem. So the simply connected proper subdomain (D,g_k) is conformal to (D,g_0). There is a diffeomorphism $\varphi_k : (D,g_0) \to (D,g_k)$ with

$$\bar{g}_k := \varphi_k^*(g_k) = e^{2u_k}\left((dy^1)^2 + (dy^2)^2\right)$$

for some smooth function u_k. Further, we can assume $f_k \circ \varphi_k(0) = 0$. The areas $\mu(D,\bar{g}_k) = \mu(D,g_k)$ are uniformly bounded by some Λ and for large k

$$\|A_{f_k\circ\varphi_k}\|_{L^2(D,\bar{g}_k)} = \|A_{f_k}\|_{L^2(D,g_k)} \leq 4\pi - \frac{\gamma}{2}.$$

Note that f_k converges to f in $C^0(D_2)$ and f is an embedding. For large k

$$d_{\mathbb{R}^n}(0,f_k(\partial D)) > \frac{1}{2} d_{\mathbb{R}^n}(0,f(\partial D)) := \delta > 0.$$

Since $f_k \circ \varphi_k$ is an isometry from $(D, \bar{g}_k)$ to $(f_k(D), g_k)$, for every $r \in (0,1)$ it holds

$$d_{\bar{g}_k}(0, f_k \circ \varphi_k(\partial D_r)) = d_{g_k}(0, f_k(\partial D_r)).$$

As the extrinsic distance is no larger than the intrinsic distance, we have for large k

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{r\to 1} d_{\bar{g}_k}(0, f_k \circ \varphi_k(\partial D_r)) &= \liminf_{r\to 1} d_{g_k}(0, f_k(\partial D_r)) \\ &\geq \liminf_{r\to 1} d_{\mathbb{R}^n}(0, f_k(\partial D_r)) \\ &> \frac{1}{2} d_{\mathbb{R}^n}(0, f(\partial D)) \\ &= \delta. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 3.8 to the conformal maps $f_k \circ \varphi_k$,

$$\|u_k\|_{L^\infty}(D_r) + \|\nabla u_k\|_{L^2(D_r)} < C(\gamma, r, \Lambda) \text{ for any } r \in (0,1). \tag{3.7}$$

Let $G_k(y) = (g_{ij}(\varphi_k(y)))$ and let $J(\varphi_k)$ be the Jacobi of φ_k. Then

$$e^{2u_k} I = J^T(\varphi_k) G_k J(\varphi_k).$$

Hence

$$J(\varphi_k) J(\varphi_k)^T = e^{2u_k} G_k^{-1}.$$

It follows

$$\lambda(r) g_0 < d\varphi_k \otimes d\varphi_k \leq \Lambda(r) g_0, \tag{3.8}$$

where $0 < \lambda(r) < \Lambda(r)$. Noting that $|\varphi_k| < 1$ as the image of φ_k lies in D, we may assume φ_k converges in $C^0(D_r)$ to a map φ for any $r \in (0,1)$.

Next, we bound the Hessian of φ_k uniformly in k for any $r \in (0,1)$:

$$\|\nabla^2 \varphi_k\|_{L^2(D_r)} < C(r). \tag{3.9}$$

To see (3.9), we first let $h_k(y)$ be the 2×2-matrix whose entries are given by

$$h_{k,ij}(y) = \frac{\partial \varphi_k(y)}{\partial y^i} \frac{\partial \varphi_k(y)}{\partial y^j} = e^{2u_k(y)} g_k^{ij}(\varphi_k(y)).$$

Then

$$h_k^{-1}(y) = (h_k^{ij}(y)) = e^{-2u_k(y)} G(y).$$

By (3.7), (3.8) and the fact that $\frac{\lambda}{2} g_0 \leq df_k \otimes df_k \leq 2\Lambda g_0$, we have

$$\int_{D_r} |\nabla h_k^{-1}|^2 \leq C \int_{D_r} e^{-4u_k} \left(|\nabla f_k|^4 |\nabla u_k|^2 + |\nabla \varphi_k|^2 |\nabla^2 f_k|^2 \right) < C(r).$$

Since $\nabla h_k = -h_k (\nabla h_k^{-1}) h_k$ and h_k is bounded, we have

$$\|\nabla h_k\|_{L^2(D_r)} + \|\nabla h_k^{-1}\|_{L^2(D_r)} < C(r).$$

We now estimate $\nabla^2 \varphi_k$ as follows, for simplicity, write $\partial_i \varphi_k, \partial_{ij} \varphi_k$ for the first and second order derivatives respectively. Since $\partial_i \varphi_k(y), i = 1, 2$ form a basis of $T_{\varphi_k(y)} \varphi_k(D)$ and

$h_k = \langle \nabla\varphi_k, \nabla\varphi_k\rangle$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(3.10)\ \partial_{11}\varphi_k &= \langle \partial_{11}\varphi_k, \partial_i\varphi_k\rangle h^{ij}\partial_j\varphi_k \\ &= \langle \partial_{11}\varphi_k, \partial_1\varphi_k\rangle h^{1j}\partial_j\varphi_k + \langle \partial_{11}\varphi_k, \partial_2\varphi_k\rangle h^{2j}\partial_j\varphi_k \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_1|\partial_1\varphi_k|^2\right) h^{1j}\partial_1\varphi_k + \left(\partial_1\langle\partial_1\varphi_k, \partial_2\varphi_k\rangle - \langle\partial_1\varphi_k, \partial_{12}\varphi_k\rangle\right) h^{2j}\partial_j\varphi_k \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_1 h_{k,11}\right) h^{1j}\partial_1\varphi_k + \left(\partial_1 h_{k,12} - \frac{1}{2}\partial_2 h_{k,11}\right) h^{2j}\partial_j\varphi_k\end{aligned}$$

and similar for $\partial_{22}\varphi_k$;

$$\begin{aligned}(3.11)\qquad \partial_{12}\varphi_k &= \langle \partial_{12}\varphi_k, \partial_i\varphi_k\rangle h^{ij}\partial_j\varphi_k \\ &= \langle \partial_{12}\varphi_k, \partial_1\varphi_k\rangle h^{1j}\partial_j\varphi_k + \langle \partial_{12}\varphi_k, \partial_2\varphi_k\rangle h^{2j}\partial_j\varphi_k \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(\partial_2 h_{k,11}) h^{1j}\partial_j\varphi_k + \frac{1}{2}(\partial_1 h_{k,22}) h^{2j}\partial_j\varphi_k\end{aligned}$$

Hence (3.9) holds.

Now, we may assume φ_k converges to φ weakly in $W^{2,2}_{loc}(D)$. Thus $\varphi \in W^{2,2}_{loc}(D) \cap W^{1,\infty}_{loc}(D)$ and satisfies

$$e^{2u(y)}\delta_{ij} = \frac{\partial\varphi^p}{\partial y^i}(y)\frac{\partial\varphi^q}{\partial y^j}(y) g_{pq}(\varphi(y)),$$
$$J(\varphi)J(\varphi)^T = e^{2u}G^{-1},$$
$$\lambda' g_0 < d\varphi\otimes d\varphi < \Lambda' g_0,$$

where $0 < \lambda' < \Lambda$.

Let $\psi_k(x) = \varphi_k^{-1}(x)$. We have

$$(3.12)\qquad g_{k,ij}(x) = \frac{\partial\psi_k(x)}{\partial x^i}\frac{\partial\psi_k(x)}{\partial x^j} e^{2u_k(\psi_k(x))}.$$

Hence $\|\nabla\psi_k\|_{L^\infty(D_r)} < C$. Thus we may assume ψ_k converges in C^0 to a map ψ. Since $\varphi_k(\psi_k(x)) = x$, we see $\varphi(\psi(x)) = x$. Then φ is a bijective.

Using similar arguments, we can prove $\|\nabla^2\psi_k\|_{L^2(D_r)} < C(r)$. In fact, we just need to replace h_k by h_k^{-1} and φ_k by ψ_k in (3.10) and (3.11). Then $\psi \in W^{2,2}_{loc}(D) \cap W^{1,\infty}_{loc}(D)$. □

3.3. **Extending conformal structures at the puncture.** With the preparation in sections 3.1 and 3.2, we now state and prove the main result in section 3.

Theorem 3.10. *Let $f \in W^{2,2}_{loc}(D_2\backslash\{0\}, \mathbb{R}^n)$ be a C^0 immersion. Assume that*

1) *For any $r \in (0,1)$ there is $\lambda(r) > 0$, s.t. $g := df\otimes df > \lambda(r) g_0$ almost everywhere in $D_2\backslash D_r$, where g_0 is the Euclidean metric.*
2) *$\|\nabla f\|_{L^\infty(D_2)} < +\infty$.*
3) *$\int_{f(D_2\backslash\{0\})} |A|^2 < +\infty$, where A is the second fundamental form of f on $D_2\backslash\{0\}$.*

Then there exists a homeomorphism $\phi : D \to D$ with $\phi(0) = 0$ that satisfies $\phi, \phi^{-1} \in W^{2,2}_{loc}(D\backslash\{0\}) \cap W^{1,+\infty}_{loc}(D\backslash\{0\})$ and $(\phi^{-1})^* g = e^{2u} g_0$ on $D\backslash\{0\}$, where $u \in W^{1,2}_{loc}(D\backslash\{0\}) \cap L^\infty_{loc}(D\backslash\{0\})$. Moreover, $f\circ\phi^{-1}$ is branched $W^{2,2}$-conformal immersion of the Euclidean unit disk D in $\mathbb{R}^n$, with 0 as its only possible branch point.

Proof. By Lemma 3.6, for any $p \in D_2\backslash\{0\}$, we can find a disk $D_\delta(p) \subset D\backslash\{0\}$, such that f is an embedding on $D_\delta(p)$ and we may further assume $\|A\|^2_{L^2(D_\delta(p),g)} < 4\pi - \gamma$ by 3). By Proposition 3.9, there exists $\varphi_{D_\delta(p)} : D_\delta(p) \to D$, $\varphi_{D_\delta(p)}, \varphi^{-1}_{D_\delta(p)} \in W^{1,\infty} \cap W^{2,2}$ and

$$\left(\varphi^{-1}_{D_\delta(p)}\right)^* g = e^{2u_{D_\delta(p)}} g_0. \tag{3.13}$$

Thus, we can find a countable open cover $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}_{i\in\mathcal{I}}$ of $D_2\backslash\{0\}$, where U_i is a disk $D_{\delta_i}(p_i)$ as above, such that there is a homeomorphism φ_i from U_i to an open set of $\mathbb{R}^2$, such that $\varphi_i, \varphi_i^{-1} \in W^{1,\infty} \cap W^{2,2}$. Then

$$\phi_{ij} = \varphi_j \circ \varphi_i^{-1} : \varphi_i(U_i \cap U_j) \to \varphi_j(U_i \cap U_j)$$

is in $W^{2,2} \cap W^{1,\infty}$ with

$$e^{2u_i} g_0 = \phi_{ij}^*(e^{2u_j} g_0).$$

Thus, ϕ_{ij} is conformal. Furthermore, we can assume that for each pair of i, j the orientation induced by φ_{ij} on $U_i \cup U_j$ is the same as that of the oriented surface $D\backslash\{0\}$. Then ϕ_{ij} is holomorphic. Then $\mathcal{A} = \{(U_i, \varphi_i) : i \in \mathcal{I}\}$ is an atlas on $D_2\backslash\{0\}$ which defines a smooth structure and a complex structure $\mathcal{C}$ on $D_2\backslash\{0\}$. The identity map $\mathrm{Id} : (D_2\backslash\{0\}, \mathcal{C}) \to (D\backslash\{0\}, g_0)$, in local coordinates, is $\mathrm{Id}\circ\varphi_i^{-1}(y)$ which is in $W^{2,2}_{loc} \cap W^{1,\infty}_{loc}$. Then f is a $W^{2,2}$-conformal immersion from $(D_2\backslash\{0\}, \mathcal{C}) \to (\mathbb{R}^n, \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle)$, by noting that the left side of (3.13) is g in the chart $(D_\delta(p), \varphi_{D_\delta(p)})$. Note that the smooth structure determined by $\mathcal{A}$ is different from the standard one on $D_2\backslash\{0\}$ unless φ_i's are smooth.

We now show that $(D_2\backslash\{0\}, \mathcal{C})$ is not conformal to the annulus $(D\backslash\overline{D}_a, g_0)$ for any $a \in (0, 1)$. If there exists a conformal diffeomorphism $\psi : (D\backslash\overline{D}_a, g_0) \to (D_2\backslash\{0\}, \mathcal{C})$, then $\overline{f} = f \circ \psi : (D\backslash\overline{D}_a, g_0) \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is in $W^{2,2}_{conf,loc}$ and $\|\nabla\overline{f}\|_{L^\infty} < \infty$, where we may restrict $\overline{f}$ to a smaller annulus $D_{1-r_0}\backslash\overline{D}_{a+r_0}$ so that $\|\nabla^2\psi\|_{L^\infty} + \|\nabla\psi\|_{L^\infty}$ is bounded. Then

$$\lim_{\epsilon\to 0} L_{\overline{g}}(\psi^{-1}(\gamma_\epsilon)) = \lim_{\epsilon\to 0} L_g(\gamma_\epsilon) = 0,$$

where $\gamma_\epsilon = (\epsilon\cos\theta, \epsilon\sin\theta)$. But this contradicts Proposition 3.4.

Then $(D_2\backslash\{0\}, \mathcal{C})$ is conformal to $D\backslash\{0\}$ or $\mathbb{C}\backslash\{0\}$. For both cases, $(D\backslash\{0\}, \mathcal{C})$ is conformal to $D\backslash\{0\}$. Thus, we can find a conformal diffeomorphism $\phi : D\backslash\{0\} \to (D\backslash\{0\}, \mathcal{C})$. Then $\phi, \phi^{-1} \in W^{2,2}_{loc} \cap W^{1,\infty}_{loc}$ over $D\backslash\{0\}$).

Next, we show $\lim_{z\to 0}\phi(z) = 0$. We will use the following:

Fact: if $\varphi : D\backslash\{0\} \to D\backslash\{0\}$ is a continuous homeomorphism, then for any $z_k \to 0$, $|\varphi(z_k)| \to 0$ or 1, *i.e.*, $\varphi(z_k)$ converges to $\partial D \cup \{0\}$.

This is because $\varphi(z_k)$ cannot have any accumulation points in $D\backslash\{0\}$: if this were not true, then there would exist a small disk $D(r_0)(q) \subset D\backslash\{0\}$ centered at an accumulation point $q \in D\backslash\{0\}$ and $\varphi^{-1}(D_{r_0}(q))$ contains infinitely many z_k; but this contradicts $x_k \to 0$. Moreover, for a given φ, only one of the limiting behavior of $|\varphi(z_k)|$ can occur: if there were $z_k \to 0$ and $z'_k \to 0$ with $|\varphi(z_k)| \to 0$ and $|\varphi(z'_k)| \to 1$ then the intermediate value theorem would imply existence of $z''_k \to 0$ with $|\varphi(z''_k)| = \frac{1}{2}$ hence z''_k would have at least one accumulation point on $\partial D_{\frac{1}{2}}$.

By this Fact, $\lim_{z\to 0}\phi(z) = 0$ is equivalent to $\lim_{w\to 0}\phi^{-1}(w) = 0$. Now, we prove $\lim_{w\to 0}\phi^{-1}(w) = 0$ by contradiction. Assume that there exists $w_k \to 0$, such that $\phi^{-1}(w_k) \to p \in \partial D$. We claim that there exists a small $r > 0$ with $\phi^{-1}(D_r\backslash\{0\}) \subset D\backslash\overline{D_{\frac{1}{2}}}$.

Otherwise, we can find $w_k' \to 0$ with $|\phi^{-1}(w_k')| \leq \frac{1}{2}$. By the intermediate value theorem, there exists w_k'' in $\overline{D}_{|w_k|}\backslash D_{|w_k'|}$ or $\overline{D}_{|w_k'|}\backslash D_{|w_k|}$ such that $|\phi^{-1}(w_k'')| = \frac{1}{2}$. But this is impossible for $w_k'' \to 0$. The claim asserts $f \circ \phi \in W^{2,2}_{conf,loc}(D\backslash\overline{D_{\frac{1}{2}}}, \mathbb{R}^n)$, and $\phi^{-1}(\gamma_\epsilon) \subset D\backslash\overline{D_{\frac{1}{2}}}$, where $\gamma_\epsilon = (\epsilon\cos\theta, \epsilon\sin\theta)$. Then

$$\lim_{\epsilon\to 0} L_{g_{f(\phi)}}(\phi^{-1}(\gamma_\epsilon)) = \lim_{\epsilon\to 0} L_{g_f}(\gamma_\epsilon) = 0.$$

But this contradicts Proposition 3.4. □

For general surfaces with punctures, we have:

Corollary 3.11. *Let (Σ, h) be an oriented surface (may not compact) and let $S \subset \Sigma$ be a finite set. Suppose $f \in W^{2,2}_{loc}(\Sigma\backslash S, \mathbb{R}^n)$ and f is a C^0 immersion on $\Sigma\backslash S$. Assume that*

1) *For any $r \in (0,1)$, there exists $\lambda(r)$, such that it holds a.e. in $\Sigma\backslash\bigcup_{p\in S} B_r(p)$*
$$g = df \otimes df > \lambda(r)h.$$
2) $\|\nabla f\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma,h)} < \infty$.
3) $\int_\Sigma |A|^2 < \infty$, *where A is the second fundamental form of f on $\Sigma\backslash S$.*

Then there is a complex structure c on Σ such that $f : (\Sigma, c) \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is a branched $W^{2,2}$-conformal immersion with its branch locus contained in S.

Proof. Applying Lemma 3.6 and Proposition 3.9, for any $p \notin S$, we choose a neighborhood U of p and a homeomorphism φ_U from U to D, such that $\varphi_U \in W^{2,2}(U, \mathbb{R}^2) \cap W^{1,\infty}(U, \mathbb{R}^2)$ and

$$(\varphi_U^{-1})^*(g) = e^{2u_U} g_0. \tag{3.14}$$

For $p \in S$, by Theorem 3.10, there exist a neighborhood U of p with $U \cap S = p$ and a homeomorphism φ_U from U to D that is in $W^{2,2}_{loc}(U\backslash\{0\}, \mathbb{R}^2)) \cap W^{1,\infty}_{loc}(U\backslash\{0\}, \mathbb{R}^2)$ and $\varphi_U(p) = 0$ and (3.14) holds. As in the proof of Theorem 3.10, we have an atlas $\mathcal{A} = \{(U_i, \varphi_i) : i \in \mathcal{I}\}$ of Σ, such that for any $i, j \in \mathcal{I}$ the transition function $\phi_{ij} = \varphi_j \circ \varphi_i^{-1}$ is holomorphic, using the given orientation on Σ to adjust if necessary, and in $W^{2,2}_{loc} \cap W^{1,\infty}_{loc}$. Thus $\mathcal{A}$ determines a smooth structure and a complex structure on Σ. Take a smooth metric h' that is compatible with the new complex structure c. The identity map from (Σ, h') to (Σ, h) is homeomorphic and is in $W^{2,2}_{loc}(\Sigma\backslash S) \cap W^{1,\infty}_{loc}(\Sigma\backslash S)$. Therefore, $f : (\Sigma, c) \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is a branched $W^{2,2}$-conformal immersion with S as its possible branch locus. □

References

[1] S. S. Chern, *An elementary proof of the existence of isothermal parameters of a surface*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **6** (1955) 771-782.

[2] J. B. Conway, Functions of One Complex Variable II, Graduate Texts in Math., Springer, 1996.

[3] R. Gulliver, *Removability of singular points on surfaces of bounded mean curvature*, J. Differential Geom. **11** (1976) 345-350.

[4] F. Hélein, Harmonic maps, conservation laws and moving frames. Translated from the 1996 French original. With a foreword by James Eells. Second edition. Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, 150. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002.

[5] E. Kuwert and R. Schätzler, *Removability of point singularities of Willmore surfaces*, Ann. of Math. **160** (2004), 315-357.

[6] E. Kuwert and Y. Li, *$W^{2,2}$-conformal immersions of a closed Riemann surface into $\mathbb{R}^n$*, Comm. Anal. Geom. **20** (2012), 313-340.
[7] S. Müller and V. Šverák, *On surfaces of finite total curvature*, J. Differential Geom. **42** (1995), 229-258.
[8] R. Osserman, *On Ber's theorem on isolated singularities*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **21** (1973), 337-342.
[9] T. Rivière, *Weak immersions of surfaces with L^2-bounded second fundamental form*, PCMI Graduate Summer School, 2013.
[10] L. Simon, *Existence of surfaces minimizing the Willmore functional*, Commu. Anal. Geom. Vol. 1, No. 2, (1993), 281-326.
[11] L. Simon, Lectures on Geometric Measure Theory, Proceedings of the Centre for Mathematical Analysis, ANU, 1984.

Department of Mathematics, The University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC V6T1Z2, Canada
E-mail address: jychen@math.ubc.ca

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China
E-mail address: yxli@math.tsinghua.edu.cn